



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,008	10/13/2000	Jonathan T. Huntington II	00-1004	1373
75	590 04/29/2004		EXAMI	NER
Claudia Cameron			VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R	
Legal Assistant Phoenix Techno			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
411 East Plumeria Drive			2131	0
San Jose, CA	95134		DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PG.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Py		
	09/687,008	HUNTINGTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael R Vaughan	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 C</u>	october 2000.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowa	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 October 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Claims 1-8 have been examined and are pending.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Examiner notes that the applicant listed several references to US Patents in the disclosure. If applicant wants these references considered such that if the immediate application matures into a patent they will be included on the front page of the patent, then examiner recommends an Information Disclosure Statement be submitted pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97.

Art Unit: 2131

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: the signature of Richard Bramley does not have a date.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: method **20**, page 5, lines 16-25 and page 6, lines 6-16; disable **28**, page 6, line 2; BIOS setup routine **17**, page 6, line 3. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the boot sector" in line 6 does not have an antecedent basis; --a boot sector—would remedy the objection.

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: both claims contain the unclear phrase "a command a security signature". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC '112, second paragraph

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 2 and 3 are dependent from the method of claim 1. Claim 1 discloses a method comprising steps. Claims 2 and 3 disclose the method of claim 1 comprising software and firmware. Because claim 1 is a method, adding the limitations software and hardware renders claims 2 and 3 indefinite. Clarification and/or correction are required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

Claims 4, 7, and 8 would be allowable if the formalities problem of claim 1 is remedied.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 1 discloses a modification to the shell of the Extensible Firmware Interface, hereinafter EFI to copy the boot sector of a hard disk to nonvolatile random access memory. Based on the search performed by examiner, Intel presented the EFI along with the assignee of the immediate application, PHOENIX TECHOLOGIES one

Art Unit: 2131

September 1, 1999. While this presentation is more than a year before the filing date

of the immediate application, the limitations disclosed in claim 1 were not published in

that presentation. A search of the prior art did not show any teaching of modifying the

EFI to copy the boot sector to nonvolatile random access memory. The prior art search

did not find any teaching prior to October 13, 2000 that would suggest it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the EFI as disclosed in claim 1.

Therefore, it is the opinion of the examiner, based on a thorough search of the prior art

that the method of claim 1 is novel.

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters as stated above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael R Vaughan whose telephone number is 703-305-0354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MV Michael R Vaughan

Examiner

Art Unit 2131

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100